## State of New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division Third Judicial Department

Decided and Entered: January 23, 2025

113462

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, Respondent,

v

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

STEPHON R. AUGUSTIN, Appellant.

Calendar Date: January 3, 2025

Before: Aarons, J.P., Pritzker, Ceresia, Fisher and Mackey, JJ.

Thomas L. Pelych, Hornell, for appellant.

*Kirk O. Martin, District Attorney*, Owego (*Torrance L. Schmitz* of counsel), for respondent.

Appeal from a judgment of the County Court of Tioga County (Gerald Keene, J.), rendered January 3, 2022, convicting defendant upon his plea of guilty of the crimes of robbery in the second degree (two counts) and grand larceny in the fourth degree (two counts).

Defendant was charged in an indictment with two counts of robbery in the second degree and two counts of grand larceny in the fourth degree, stemming from a robbery of a Dollar Store during which he struck a store clerk in the face. Defendant pleaded guilty as charged and, consistent with the plea agreement, County Court sentenced him to concurrent prison terms of seven years, to be followed by five years of postrelease supervision, on the convictions of robbery in the second degree, and to lesser concurrent sentences on the other convictions. Defendant appeals.

113462

We affirm. Defendant's sole contention on appeal is that his sentence is harsh and excessive due to his mental health and substance abuse issues, as well as his limited criminal history. Given, however, the serious nature of his criminal conduct and the fact that the agreed-upon sentence imposed was significantly less than the maximum allowable, upon due consideration of all of the mitigating and aggravating factors, we do not find that the sentence was unduly harsh or severe, and we decline to take corrective action to modify the sentence in the interest of justice (*see* CPL 470.15 [6] [b]; *People v Vazquez*, 222 AD3d 1104, 1105-1106 [3d Dept 2023], *lv denied* 41 NY3d 944 [2024]). Contrary to defendant's assertion, the fact that a codefendant may have received a lesser sentence does not establish that the sentence imposed on him was unduly harsh or severe (*see People v Foli*, 145 AD3d 1322, 1323 [3d Dept 2016], *lv denied* 29 NY3d 1031 [2017]; *People v Morrison*, 71 AD3d 1228, 1230 [3d Dept 2010], *lv denied* 15 NY3d 754 [2010]).

Aarons, J.P., Pritzker, Ceresia, Fisher and Mackey, JJ., concur.

ORDERED that the judgment is affirmed.

ENTER:

Robert D. Mayberger Clerk of the Court

-2-