State of New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division Third Judicial Department

Decided and Entered: September 19, 2024		112757	
THE PEOPLE OF THE S NEW YORK, v	STATE OF Respondent,	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER	
CORDELL GENTRY,	Appellant.		
Calendar Date: August 3	0, 2024		
Before: Aarons, J.P., Pri	tzker, Ceresia, Fisho	er and Mackey, JJ.	
Terrence M. Kelly	, Albany, for appell	ant.	
Robert M. Carney, respondent.	, District Attorney, S	Schenectady (Peter H. Willis of counsel), for	

Appeal from a judgment of the County Court of Schenectady County (Matthew J. Sypniewski, J.), rendered December 11, 2019, convicting defendant upon his plea of guilty of the crime of robbery in the second degree.

Defendant pleaded guilty to the fourth count of a nine-count indictment that charged him with robbery in the second degree and agreed to waive his right to appeal. In accordance with the plea agreement, County Court sentenced defendant, as a second felony offender, to a prison term of 6½ years, to be followed by five years of postrelease supervision. Defendant appeals.

Initially, the People concede – and our review of the record confirms – that defendant's waiver of his right to appeal is invalid, as the written waiver contains

inaccurate and overbroad language, and the deficiencies therein were not cured by County Court's colloquy (*see People v Arthur*, 228 AD3d 1133, 1133-1134 [3d Dept 2024]; *People v Tucker*, 222 AD3d 1038, 1039 [3d Dept 2023]; *People v Booth*, 221 AD3d 1283, 1284 [3d Dept 2023]). As such, defendant's challenge to the severity of the sentence is not precluded (*see People v Lopez*, 6 NY3d 248, 255-256 [2006]; *People v Arthur*, 228 AD3d at 1134; *People v Steward*, 220 AD3d 982, 984 [3d Dept 2023], *lv denied* 40 NY3d 1082 [2023]).

In that regard, defendant contends that, given his young age of 20 years old, troubled upbring, substance abuse issues and limited education, the sentence is unduly harsh. Considering such information, as well as other relevant circumstances, including his criminal history, the advantageous nature of the plea and the fact that the agreed-upon sentence is less than two years above the statutory minimum permissible prison term (*see* Penal Law § 70.06 [6] [b]), we are unpersuaded that the sentence is unduly harsh or severe, and decline to take corrective action to modify the sentence in the interest of justice (*see* CPL 470.15 [6] [b]).

Aarons, J.P., Pritzker, Ceresia, Fisher and Mackey, JJ., concur.

ORDERED that the judgment is affirmed.

ENTER:

Robert D. Mayberger Clerk of the Court