

*State of New York*  
*Supreme Court, Appellate Division*  
*Third Judicial Department*

Decided and Entered: April 19, 2018

108385  
109108

---

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
NEW YORK,

Respondent,

v

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

DAVID G. GIFFORD,

Appellant.

---

Calendar Date: February 16, 2018

Before: McCarthy, J.P., Egan Jr., Devine, Clark and Rumsey, JJ.

---

Rural Law Center of New York, Castleton (Cynthia Feathers of counsel), for appellant, and appellant pro se.

John M. Muehl, District Attorney, Cooperstown (Michael F. Getman of counsel), for respondent.

---

McCarthy, J.P.

Appeals (1) from a judgment of the County Court of Otsego County (Burns, J.), rendered March 4, 2016, which revoked defendant's probation and imposed a sentence of imprisonment, and (2) by permission, from an order of said court, entered January 11, 2017, which denied defendant's motion pursuant to CPL 440.20 to vacate the sentence, without a hearing.

Defendant, who had numerous prior convictions for driving while intoxicated, was indicted and charged with driving while intoxicated, a class D felony. He pleaded guilty to the charged crime based on an agreement that he would be sentenced to five years of probation with a requirement that he participate in drug

court, and that he would receive specified sentences if he was not accepted into the program or was accepted but failed to complete it. After County Court sentenced him to probation, and he apparently was not accepted into the drug court program, defendant failed to contact the Probation Department and absconded to another state.

Five years later, defendant was apprehended and brought before County Court to address his violation of probation. After a hearing, County Court concluded that defendant violated several conditions of probation, revoked his probation and imposed a prison sentence of 2½ to 7 years. The court later denied defendant's pro se CPL 440.20 motion to vacate the sentence. Defendant appeals from the judgment revoking his probation and imposing a prison sentence and, by permission, from County Court's order denying his motion to vacate his sentence.


Contrary to defendant's argument, County Court did not impose an enhanced sentence upon his original plea but, rather, imposed a new sentence upon revoking his probation. The prior plea discussions did not bind the court, as they did not address defendant's eventual violation of conditions of probation unrelated to the drug court program. Considering that the crime at issue represents defendant's eighth conviction for driving while intoxicated, defendant absconded from the state before ever reporting for probation and he was arrested in multiple states in the interim, we reject defendant's argument that the sentence was harsh and excessive.

County Court correctly denied defendant's CPL 440.20 motion because he failed to demonstrate that the sentence imposed was "unauthorized, illegally imposed or otherwise invalid as a matter of law" (CPL 440.20 [1]). Defendant's remaining arguments have been examined and found to be lacking in merit.

Egan Jr., Devine, Clark and Rumsey, JJ., concur.

ORDERED that the judgment and order are affirmed.

ENTER:

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Robert D. Mayberger". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Robert D. Mayberger  
Clerk of the Court