## State of New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division Third Judicial Department

Decided and Entered: October 5, 2017 108225

\_\_\_\_\_\_

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

Respondent,

Nosponden

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

RYAN HAINES,

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

Appellant.

\_\_\_\_\_

Calendar Date: September 12, 2017

Before: Peters, P.J., McCarthy, Rose, Mulvey and Rumsey, JJ.

Donnial K. Hinds, Albany, for appellant.

James R. Farrell, District Attorney, Monticello (Richard K. Caister Jr. of counsel), for respondent.

\_\_\_\_

Rose, J.

Appeal from a judgment of the County Court of Sullivan County (LaBuda, J.), rendered January 7, 2015, convicting defendant upon his plea of guilty of the crime of burglary in the second degree.

Defendant was arrested for unlawfully entering a residence and stealing approximately \$7,000. After executing a waiver of indictment and a detailed, written waiver of appeal, defendant orally waived his right to appeal and pleaded guilty to burglary in the second degree as charged in a superior court information. The plea agreement provided that defendant would receive a prison sentence of  $6\frac{1}{2}$  years followed by five years of postrelease supervision. As part of the plea agreement, the People promised that if defendant made restitution in the amount of \$2,000 prior

to sentencing, they would recommend a prison sentence of five years followed by five years of postrelease supervision. Defendant failed to make any restitution payments and, consistent with the plea agreement, County Court imposed, among other things, a prison sentence of  $6\frac{1}{2}$  years followed by five years of postrelease supervision. Defendant now appeals.

We affirm. Defendant's due process claim that County Court should not have imposed the agreed-upon 6½-year prison term without first conducting an indigency hearing, as well as his claim that such sentence was harsh and excessive, are precluded by his unchallenged — and, in any event, valid — appeal waiver (see People v Lyman, 119 AD3d 968, 969-970 [2014], lv denied 27 NY3d 1153 [2016]; People v Long, 117 AD3d 1326, 1326-1327 [2014], lv denied 24 NY3d 1003 [2014]). Moreover, by not bringing his due process claim to the attention of the court at the time of sentencing or making a motion to withdraw his plea, defendant failed to preserve his claim for our review (see People v Rushlow, 137 AD3d 1482, 1483 [2016]; People v Bassoff, 51 AD3d 682, 683 [2008], lv denied 11 NY3d 734 [2008]).

Peters, P.J., McCarthy, Mulvey and Rumsey, JJ., concur.

ORDERED that the judgment is affirmed.

ENTER:

Robert D. Mayberger Clerk of the Court