State of New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division Third Judicial Department

Decided and Entered: October 26, 2017

107818

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

Respondent,

 \mathbf{v}

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

NICHOLAS B. STYLES,

Appellant.

Calendar Date: September 8, 2017

Before: McCarthy, J.P., Egan Jr., Lynch, Devine and

Pritzker, JJ.

David E. Butler, Vestal, for appellant.

Weeden A. Wetmore, District Attorney, Elmira (Damian M. Sonsire of counsel), for respondent.

McCarthy, J.P.

Appeal from a judgment of the County Court of Chemung County (Hayden, J.), rendered May 18, 2015, upon a verdict convicting defendant of the crimes of aggravated unlicensed operation of a motor vehicle in the first degree and driving while ability impaired.

Defendant was convicted after trial of aggravated unlicensed operation of a motor vehicle in the first degree and driving while ability impaired. He now argues that the prosecutor's summation exceeded the bounds of appropriate comment, thereby depriving him of a fair trial. This argument is largely unpreserved because at trial defendant objected to only one of the comments that he now attacks (see People v Wynn, 149

-2- 107818

AD3d 1252, 1255 [2017], <u>lv denied</u> 29 NY3d 1136 [2017]; <u>People v</u> Rivera, 124 AD3d 1070, 1074-1075 [2015], <u>lvs denied</u> 26 NY3d 971 [2015]; <u>People v Hawkins</u>, 110 AD3d 1242, 1243-1244 [2013], <u>lv denied</u> 22 NY3d 1041 [2013]). Considering that County Court sustained the sole objection, that comment did not deprive defendant of a fair trial. Although defendant complains that the court did not give any limiting instructions, none were requested, rendering this contention unpreserved as well. In relation to these unpreserved arguments, we decline to take corrective action in the interest of justice. Accordingly, we affirm.

Egan Jr., Lynch, Devine and Pritzker, JJ., concur.

ORDERED that the judgment is affirmed.

ENTER:

Robert D. Mayberger Clerk of the Court