


substantial evidence supporting the determination of guilt (see Matter of Curry v Fischer, 93 AD3d 984, 984 [2012]; Matter of Daniel v Fischer, 86 AD3d 892, 892 [2011]). The discrepancy in the date the sample was collected as indicated on the misbehavior report and the request for urinalysis form was adequately explained by the testing officer as a clerical error (see Matter of Geraci v Fischer, 63 AD3d 1467, 1468 [2009]; Matter of Collins v Goord, 40 AD3d 1329, 1330 [2007]). Moreover, the chain of custody of the sample was properly established by the information contained on the request for urinalysis form, as well as the testimony of the testing officer who stated that she had custody of the sample from the time it was removed from the freezer until it was destroyed (see 7 NYCRR 1020.4 [e] [1]; Matter of Moss v Prack, 87 AD3d 1255, 1256 [2011]; Matter of Valez v Fischer, 85 AD3d 1529 [2011], lv denied 17 NY3d 716 [2011]). Petitioner's remaining claims have been considered and rejected.

Mercure, J.P., Rose, Malone Jr., Kavanagh and Garry, JJ.,
concur.

ADJUDGED that the determination is confirmed, without
costs, and petition dismissed.

ENTER:

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Robert D. Mayberger". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Robert D. Mayberger
Clerk of the Court