

State of New York
Supreme Court, Appellate Division
Third Judicial Department

Decided and Entered: May 21, 2009

503312

In the Matter of the Claim of
LAURA GOVAN,
Appellant,
v

NEW YORK CITY HEALTH AND
HOSPITALS CORPORATION,
Respondent.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD,
Respondent.

Calendar Date: March 24, 2009

Before: Rose, J.P., Kane, Kavanagh, Stein and McCarthy, JJ.

Laura Govan, New York City, appellant pro se.

Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel, New York City
(Marta Ross of counsel), for New York City Health and Hospitals
Corporation, respondent.

McCarthy, J.

Appeal from a decision of the Workers' Compensation Board,
filed December 1, 2006, which ruled, among other things, that
claimant had sustained a permanent partial disability and awarded
workers' compensation benefits.

Following a hearing, a Worker's Compensation Law Judge
(hereinafter WCLJ) classified claimant, a former licensed
practical nurse who had been pricked with a needle that had been
used on an HIV patient, as permanently partially disabled as a

result of posttraumatic stress disorder and awarded workers' compensation benefits. In this decision, the WCLJ also ordered the self-insured employer to withhold \$2,800 pending a determination on the issue of counsel fees. The WCLJ thus continued the case for a reserved decision on this issue. Upon administrative appeal, the Workers' Compensation Board affirmed the finding of a permanent partial disability and did not consider the issue of counsel fees, noting that this issue had yet to be resolved. Claimant appeals.

We affirm. Substantial evidence supports the Board's finding that claimant was properly classified as permanently partially disabled, as opposed to permanently totally disabled (see Matter of Demel v Northern Telecom, 5 AD3d 820 [2004], lv dismissed and denied 3 NY3d 697 [2004]; Matter of Forte v City & Suburban, 292 AD2d 738, 739-740 [2002]). Claimant's treating psychiatrist since 2001 testified that, although he had previously considered her to be "totally disabled on a permanent basis," she has made some improvements. According to him, as of the hearing, she was permanently partially disabled and, while she could not return to her nursing profession, she might be able to perform menial, low-stress jobs, such as answering telephones. In reaching its conclusion that claimant is permanently partially disabled, as opposed to permanently totally disabled, the Board credited the testimony of this psychiatrist, which was within its province (see e.g. Matter of Vandermark v Frontier Ins. Co., 60 AD3d 1171, 1172 [2009]). Significantly, no contrary medical testimony was offered, that is, no other medical expert testified that claimant, as of the hearing, was permanently totally disabled. Given that substantial evidence supports the Board's decision, we will not disturb it.

Finally, a May 15, 2008 decision of a WCLJ granting counsel fees in the amount of \$2,800 to claimant's former attorney is not before us. While claimant filed an application for review of this particular decision, there is no indication that the Board itself has yet rendered any decision or that claimant timely filed a notice of appeal from any such Board decision (see Workers' Compensation Law § 23).

Rose, J.P., Kane, Kavanagh and Stein, JJ., concur.

ORDERED that the decision is affirmed, without costs.

ENTER:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael J. Novack". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "M".

Michael J. Novack
Clerk of the Court