SHORT FORM ORDER

SUPREME COURT - STATE OF NEW YORK

Present:

HON. THOMAS A. ADAMS,

Acting Supreme Court Justice

TRIAL/IAS, PART 33 NASSAU COUNTY

INTERBORO INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff(s),

MOTION DATE: 9/23/11 INDEX NO.: 7094/11 SEQ. NOs. 1 & 2

-against-

LOUIS BERTHOLET, BSZ CHIROPRACTIC, P.C., MIDWOOD TOTAL REHABILITATION MEDICAL, P.C., SALEM, P.T., P.C., V&T MEDICAL, P.C., ACTIVE CARE MEDICAL SUPPLY CORP., AP DIAGNOSTIC MEDICAL, P.C., JAMAICA AVENUE ACUPUNCTURE, P.C., ADVANCED MEDICAL CARE, P.C., MG ACUPUNCTURE, P.C., VILLAGE CHIROPRACTIC, P.C., QUEENS INTEGRATED MEDICAL CARE, P.C.,

Defendant(s)

The plaintiff's motion, pursuant to CPLR §3215, for a default judgment as against the corporate defendants due to their assignor, the defendant Louis Bertholet's, failure to appear for September 30, 2010, October 20, 2010 and November 16, 2010 examinations under oath (<u>see</u> plaintiff's Exhibit 13), following an alleged August 7, 2010 motor vehicle accident is granted. The appearance at an "EUO" is a condition precedent to coverage (<u>see GLM Medical, P.C. v State</u> Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co., 30 Misc3d 137A).

The defendant Active Care Medical Supply Corp.'s cross motion, pursuant to CPLR §3012(d), to compel the plaintiff to accept its late June 24, 2011 proposed answer (<u>see</u> defendant Active Care's Exhibit 1) is denied. "To compel the acceptance of an untimely pleading, a party must provide a reasonable excuse for the delay and demonstrate a potentially meritorious defense to the action" (<u>Roccanova v Aussino (USA), Inc.</u>, 76 AD3d 522,522-523). Here, notwithstanding the cross movant's brief delay in appearing, as the result of the failure to satisfy a condition precedent to coverage, it has failed to demonstrate the existence of a potentially meritorious defense. The action has been discontinued as against the defendant Louis Bertholet.

Settle Judgment On Notice.

OCT 1 9 2011

A.J.S.C. ххх

ENTERED OCT 28 2011 NASSAU COUNTY COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

7094-11.wpd

Dated: